

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

A	

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR					ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/662,225	09/14/0	o TA			þ	P-5022
_				\neg		EXAMINER
"and Bases & Court desired	HODGSON MCKAY & HO	ibesoN	MMC2/1022		THE NH	PAPER NUMBER
	ST OFFICE EN ROAD	PLAZA	SUITE 220	I	2841 DATE MAILEC): 10/22/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summany				TA, PETER CUONG DAC				
		09/662,225		Art Unit				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		2841				
	The state was party at this assumption and	Tuan T Dinh	ver sheet with the c					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
3tatus 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	September 200	<u>)1</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	his action is noi	n-final.					
3)	= The design to the merits is							
	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 and 26-34 is/are pending in the	e application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>23-25 and 35-37</u> is/a	are withdrawn t	from consideration.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22,36-34</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.					
	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) ob	ected to by the Ex	aminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	tne drawing(s) be	neid in abeyance.	oved by the Evaminer				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			loved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		G activit.					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	an priority und-	>r 35 H S C - 8 110/	'a)-(d) or (f).				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	iority document	te have heen received	ved in this National Stage				
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachme								
1) Not	cice of References Cited (PTO-892) Cice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Commation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5	Interview Summa Notice of Informa Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/662,225

Art Unit: 2841

, •

DETAILED ACTION

Upon further review of the remaining apparatus claims, the following restriction is necessary.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment I Figures 2-8.

Embodiment II Figures 9-10.

Embodiment III Figures 11-15.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, No claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/662,225

Art Unit: 2841

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5856. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 703-308-3301. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-3431 for regular communications and 703-308-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TD October 19, 2001